25X1 Approved For Release 2004/07/28 : CIA-RDP79T00472A00400000000001-8

OCI No. 0618/65

Copy No.

89

MONTHLY REPORT



THE SITUATION IN SOUTH VIETNAM

5 May 1965

INTELLIGENO VIETNAM	NCE	AND	REPORT	IING	SUBCOMMITTEE	OF	THE	INTERAGENCY	25Y1

Approved For Release 2004/07/28 : CIA-RDP79T00472A001700050001-8

Approved Fer Release 2004/07/28 : CIA-RDP79T09472A001700050001-8

THIS MATERIAL CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, USC, SECTIONS 793 AND 794, THE TRANSMISSION OR REVELATION OF WHICH IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

I. SOUTH VIETNAM MONTHLY SITUATION REPORT

A. POLITICAL SITUATION

- 1. The government of Prime Minister Quat appears to be entering its most delicate phase since the abortive coup that followed shortly after its installation last February. The level of political stability achieved during the past few months has not deteriorated visibly and the Quat leadership has continued to demonstrate energy and improved efficiency in handling the numerous and varied crucial issues at hand. Nevertheless there are some disturbing signs of strain within the civilian leadership and in its relations with military and religious leaders. The next few weeks may well test to the fullest Quat's qualities of political realism and flexibility.
- Thus far Quat has moved steadily to energize and streamline his bureaucracy and the counterinsurgency effort, consolidate his personal position particularly within Buddhist and military circles, and improve his public image and that of his government as widely as possible at both the domestic and international levels. While striving for an increasingly effective counterinsurgency effort against the Viet Cong and endorsing continued airstrikes against the north and against insurgent forces in the South, Quat has maintained a flexible position on the earlier "peace" issue and on the more recent question of a political settlement of the Vietnam crisis. In both respects he seems to have a broader consensus behind him than was enjoyed by past Vietnamese governments, and morale has improved in both the official and public sectors.
- 3. However, certain developments during the past few weeks have again confirmed that the political situation, though stabilized temporarily, remains basically fragile or at least heavily dependent on a variety of factors not always predictable or controllable. For

4. Thus far Quat has demonstrated considerable discrimination and realism in selecting attainable objectives while avoiding issues that could disrupt progress or destroy the still precarious internal power balance. For the first time since his advent to power, Quat may be overestimating his position of strength or at least overstretching the limits of his political flexibility and adroitness. The next few weeks could produce either a major political breakthrough or a setback for Quat.

Economic Situation

- 5. Rice deliveries from the Mekong delta to Saigon continued to be below normal during April. The price of rice has risen further and the stockpile in Saigon is substantially below the level of April 1964. However, business confidence and the level of business activity have increased and licensing of imports is going ahead briskly.
- 6. Because of the comparative lull in Viet Cong activity since March, the refugee problem in the northern provinces has not increased as seriously as was expected. There have been reports that refugees in some areas are returning to their former places of residence.

B. MILITARY SITUATION

1. For the second consecutive month, the Viet Cong maintained an essentially defensive posture and sought to avoid direct confrontations with major units of the South Vietnamese Army; with most, important contacts were made at the initiative of the ARVN. During the month, the Communists maintained a relatively low incident rate. They conducted few armed attacks and concentrated their main effort on terrorism, harassments, and other small-scale activities which employed a minimum of forces.

The Viet Cong concentrated most of this activity in IV Corps with their secondary effort in the northern I Corps area. Only 43 armed attacks were reported, the fewest number on record for a single month. Of these, only five attacks could be considered as company-size or larger. The most significant occurred in Hau Nghia and Kien Hoa provinces during the last week of the month, when enemy battalions attacked a Ranger battalion and Junk Force/Popular Forces personnel, inflicting heavy casualties.

April did not favor the Viet Cong. Despite the decline in enemy activity the Viet Cong continued to sustain a high rate of casualties, particularly killed in action, while government forces experienced a sharp decline of in total casualties and in KIA. The weapons-loss ratio favored the government, with the Viet Cong losing more weapons than the government forces. The number of Viet Cong defecting under the Chieu Hoi (Returnee Program) has continued its rise since January and in April reached beyond the 500 mark.

2. Virtually all major contact with the enemy during the month was the result of government-in-itiated military operations. Continuing the pattern started in March, the government conducted aggressive forays into Communist-controlled territory and scored a number of successes. While most operations made no contact with enemy forces, several of those that did were marked by the killing of large numbers of Viet Cong and the destruction of large amounts of supplies, foodstuffs, and munitions.

Despite the relatively light Communist military activity during April, the Viet Cong continued their consolidation of previous gains and the building up of their political infrastructure, especially in areas previously considered as being under government control. Reports have indicated that the Communists were regrouping for training, possibly in preparation to resume the offensive. A buildup of enemy forces in the Quang Tin/Quang Ngai area has recently been reported.

The current lull in enemy activity has extended for nearly two months, which suggests that the Viet Cong decision to stand down their activities may have involved factors beyond that for normal training requirements. While a high casualty rate and material losses are probably important factors in the lack of the Viet Cong aggressiveness which characterized the Communist February offensive, it also seems likely that the Viet Cong are having to

reassess their present military capabilities and overall strategy in light of greatly increased US presence and participation in the war.

Significantly, many operations were conducted in remote areas under Communist control, reflecting a major US advisory effort to step up government search-and-destroy operations during a period of relative Viet Cong military inactivity.

The Viet Cong KIA figures rose sharply during April as a result of the more effective prosecution of the war. In Viet Cong War Zone D north of Saigon, the enemy was deprived of a large amount of food through its capture by friendly forces. In Kien Hoa, government seizure of five to ten tons of munitions disclosed an area under Viet Cong control which apparently had been a major point for the landing of supplies infiltrated by sea.

Government of Vietnam force strengths for March experienced a net loss from the previous month. For comparison, actual GVN force strengths for February and March are listed below:

	<u>February</u>	$\underline{\mathtt{March}}$
Regular Force	245,453	245,061
Regional Force	99,143	100,018
Popular Force	161,600	156,619
Total	506,196	501,698

Desertions since the first of the year continue high and are:

	January	<u>February</u>	March
Regular Force	2,384	2,532	5,018
Regional Force	1,160	812	1,137
Popular Force	3,359	3,894	5,010
Total	6,903	7,238	11,165

Despite the favorable trend of government operations during the past month, a resurgence of Viet Cong activity is expected in the coming wet summer monsoon season, which generally favors the guerrilla operations. While recent government successes may have tended to set back the Communist timetable, it seems as likely that the Viet Cong have had to sit back and take stock of a new ingredient, the greatly increased US participation in the war. If the Viet Cong have decided upon a change of tactics, their expected offensive will probably take the form of greatly intensified small-scale activities—terrorism, harassment, and sabotage—which provides for economy of force, through the use of small bands of guerrillas, Such tactics would be difficult to counter.

C. RURAL RECONSTRUCTION

- 1. Nationwide progress in the Rural Reconstruction effort was largely stalled during April. The main emphasis continued to be placed on planning activities; little in the way of tangible results was achieved.
- 2. During the month, the governmental organizational framework of the counterinsurgency program was overhauled. This reorganization was designed to give the GVN greater flexibility in controlling national Rural Reconstruction efforts. One of the first tasks undertaken by the new Central Rural Reconstruction Council, acting in concert with the minister of social welfare has been assigned to deal with the refugee problem which continues to plague the authorities in Central Vietnam.

	Approved For Release 2004/07/28 : CIA-RDP79T00472A004 72 00050001-8
25X1	

25X1 25X1

II. DEVELOPMENTS IN THE BLOC

North Vietnamese leaders consulted with top level officials in both Moscow and Peiping during April. week long visit in Moscow of Lao Dong Party Secretary Le Duan resulted in a joint Soviet-Vietnamese communique which constituted the strongest Soviet endorsement to date of Hanoi's political objectives in Vietnam and provided for new, though, unspecified Soviet military assistance. There was no announcement as to the results of the five-day consultations between Le Duan and Chinese leaders the following week. In both Moscow and Peiping. however, the talks probably covered the extent and nature of Chinese and Soviet assistance to the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) and the Viet Cong, and the Communist position on a peaceful settlement of the war in South Vietnam. Hanoi is apparently attempting to maintain a middle ground between the Chinese and Soviets on both these issues, probably hoping to extract the maximum amount of assistance from each party in defending itself against US and South Vietnamese air attacks. North Vietnamese propaganda, for example, continued through April to maintain a relatively balanced coverage of Chinese and Soviet pronouncement on the war.

the surface-to-air missile site just outside Hanoi was in the final stages of construction. Other active defense preparations include an augmentation of the jet fighter unit at Phuc Yen airfield by at least eight more MIG 15/17s and an increase in civil defense preparations. The DRV Government ordered dependents of foreign personnel out of the country in mid-April and began to alert its own citizens to the significance and danger of the "new situation" in the wake of the stepped-up US air strikes. The DRV National Assembly was convened from 8 to 10 April to put the country on a wartime footing, and US/GVN bombing attacks were described as creating "stages of war" in certain parts of the country.

There has been no indication of any change in the DRV position on negotiations, although Hanoi has made an attempt to appear more flexible regarding an overall "solution" in Vietnam. At the DRV National Assembly,

-8-

Premier Pham Van Dong described a formula for a "peace-ful settlement" of the Vietnam question which it "reeognized" would allow consideration of a Geneva-type conference. The "bases" included cessation of attacks on the North and a withdrawal of US forces from the South, settlement in the South in accordance with the Front's program. This formulation has subsequently been widely quoted as the Vietnamese answer to President Johnson's April Baltimore speech, and these proposals are now labeled by Hanoi as the "basis" for the "soundest" peaceful settlement of the Vietnam problem.

The DRV formulation may represent a Vietnamese effort to appear responsive to Moscow's more moderate position on the Vietnamese question. In tone, it is considerably milder than the position taken by the Chinese in both public propaganda and in private diplomatic conversations with neutrals. Chinese propaganda insists that the US stop its bombing of the North, withdraw its troops from the South, and negotiate with the Front as the only representative of the South Vietnamese people. Peiping comes close to making US withdrawal a precondition to any talks.

III. THIRD COUNTRY DEVELOPMENTS

A. CAMBODIA

- Sihanouk followed through on his threat to break diplomatic relations with the US on 3 May 1965 using as his official reason the 28 April border incident which claimed the life of a Cambodian youth and wounded two other civilians. The break was somewhat tempered, however, with the Cambodian proposal that consular relations for commercial and tourist reasons be maintained. This proposal, if accepted, leaves the road open for possible future negotiations for the renewal of diplomatic relations and signifies the Cambodian fondness of the American tourist dollar, a lucrative source of hard currency. With his publicly announced threats of a break if "one more Cambodian was killed" as a result of US/RVN "aggression" and his full knowledge of further casualty probabilities, Sihanouk left no alternative to the severence of relations. over, the 5 April Newsweek article helped to precipitate Sihanouk's decision. Chinese Communist pressure is also suspected.
- 2. On 1 May, Prince Sihanouk clarified his conditions for a Geneva Conference on Cambodia by stating that the conference must exclude the GVN, prohibit any discussion of Vietnamese or Laotian problems, and be modeled after the 1954 Indochina Conference instead of the 1962 Laos Conference. By inference, Cambodia would accept the presence of the United States at this conference. Sihanouk has not indicated any changes in these conditions since the break in Cambodian-United States relations on 3 May.

B. DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS

1. The GVN took the diplomatic initiative in April to improve its image abroad. Deputy Prime Minister Tran Van Tuyen has completed three weeks of a scheduled eight-week goodwill mission to Africa. In Saigon, to which he had temporarily been recalled for domestic political reasons, Tuyen told the press that his African trip had resulted in a better understanding of the GVN and its cause among Africans.

- 2. Foreign Minister Tran Van Do plans to visit New Delhi on 7 May to present the policy of the GVN and to try to obtain Indian support. His schedule also calls for a stop in Bangkok to express appreciation for Thai support and to discuss strategy for the Afro-Asian Conference in Algiers on 29 June. Do has previously expressed the hope that moves be coordinated so that moderate states either attend or boycott the conference in unison.
- 3. Vinh Tho, Foreign Office official, told a US official that the GVN will make several ambassadorial appointments to Asia, Africa, and Europe in the near future.

C. THIRD COUNTRY AID

4.

Japan.

- 1. Coordination. The GVN established an International Military Assistance Office in early April to help coordinate the programs of nations providing military personnel.
- 2. Australia. Prime Minister Menzies announced in late April that his government will provide an infantry battalion of 800 men, plus 100 logistical and administrative troops. The battalion, which is expected to arrive in Vietnam in early June, may be attached to the 173rd Airborne Brigade, at least initially at Bien Hoa or Vung Tau, to defend the base areas and to serve as a mobile reserve.
- 3. New Zealand. The cabinet is giving consideration to sending a combat unit to Vietnam. A definite decision has not yet been made partly, because of the need to line up domestic--including opposition--political support, and partly because of the absence of an official request from the GVN.

	m to South Vietnam, Japan indicated make available \$9.5 million in com-	
mercial credits.		

With completion of its \$39 million

25X6

25X6

25X6

Philippines. In April, the Philippine Government received the first official GNV request for a Philippine contingent. As presently envisaged, the 2,200 man Philippine task force (US-financed) will consist of an engineer battalion (about 1,200 men) and a combat battalion. President Macapagal, faced with a November election, apparently believes he needs to do considerable political spadework before announcing his decision to send the troops although he has indicated to Ambassador Lodge that he expects little difficulty. Greatest resistance, especially from the opposition Nacionalista Party, is anticipated in sending the combat battalion since congressional approval is required for such action. Somewhat less difficulty is expected in sending the engineer troops to engage in pacification work; officers for this unit will start training soon. The task force picture will become clearer after a 7 May meeting between Macapagal and the bipartisan foreign policy consultative committee. Meanwhile, the Philippines has sent its second 35-man medical team

South Vietnamese and Viet Cong Casualties and Weapons Losses: 1962 - April 1965

1. General Statistical Data:

Time Peri		Viet Cong Inci- dents	Killed in Action GVN		Wound in Actio GVN		(Captu or Mi SVN	red ssing VC	Total Casus CVN	l alties VC	Weapo Losse GVN	
Jan	1962 1963 1964 1965	1825 927 1770 2206	453 343	1294 1754 1223 2203	475 908 913 1938	211 318 - -		116 102 555 471	391 379 240 565	1463 1811		457 917 1700	683 532 711
Feb	1962 1963 1964 1965	1460 788 2078 1982	379 374	1205 1082 1055 1564	300 656 916 1840	316 303 -		124 82 303 1394	353 292 289 309	1117 1593	1874 1677 1344 1873	- 253 708 2454	399 471 620
Mar	1962 1963 1964 1965	1961 1282 2160 2056	410 439	1456 1443 1456 2022	737 851 1249 1633	551 368 -		140 66 345 720	523 205 531 394			467 814 1442	367 532 698
Apr	1962 19 63 1964 1965	1933 1331 2284 1860	506 : 594 :	1596 1660 1671 1870	532 878 1584 1650	292 256 -		151 96 398 232	415 388 245 529		2304 1916	797 990 757	468 424 973
May	1962 1963 1964	1825 1208 2143	390 : 435 :	1756 1895 1135	509 889 987	352 256		94 94 202	524 695 242	993 1418	2632 2885 1377	463 723	564 281
Jun	1962 1963 1964	1477 1311 2062	389 :	1666 1862 1005	613 772 1145	416 310 -		77 90 313	441 437 230	1251	2523 2609 1235	- 580 718	394 387
Jul	1962 1963 1964	1564 1368 3045	529	1544 1918 1427	686 1071 1812	424 372		212 306 510	542 387 219	1906	2510 2677 1646	- 934 1889	374 447
Aug	1962 1963 1964	1642 1349 2580	411	2271 1685 1449	626 804 1612	367 237		63 352 478	669 482 282	1567	3307 2404 1731	637 1106	428 619

Time Peri		Viet Cong Inci- dents	Killed in Action GVN VC	Wounded in Action GVN V	Captu or Mi C GVN	red .ssing VC	Total Casua GVN	lties VC	Weapo Losse GVN	
Sep	1962 1963 1964	1375 1763 3091	419 2218 672 1982 819 1187	646 36 1155 23 1759 -	566	446 347 230	1124 2393 3315	3029 2583 1417	- 607 1465	389 525
Oct	1962 1963 1964	1357 1422 2827	365 1967 428 1520 739 1617	619 28 989 21 1583 -	4 398	373 236 576	1048 1815 3015	2626 2000 2193	753 1510	330 482
Nov	1962 1963 1964	1311 3182 1982	410 1982 664 2333 574 1747	834 36 1554 37 1404 -		561 252 570	1336 2883 2388	2911 2958 2317	- 1595 1104	- 455 515
Dec	1962 1963 1964	1346 1882 2504	294 2203 389 1440 1002 1813	618 28 961 19 2053 -	320	463 190 503	990 1670 4147	2755 1821 2316	724 2111	546 666

Composite Annual Totals

Time Period	VC Inci- dents	KIA GVN VC	WIA GVN VC	Captured or Missing GVN VC	Total Casualties GVN VC	Weapons Losses GVN VC
1962	19076	4417 21158	7195 4237	1270 5701	12882 30896	5195 4049*
1963	17813	5665 20574	11488 3462	3137 4290	20290 28385	8267 5397
1964	28526	7477 16785	17017 -	6036 4157	30510 20942	14055 5881
1965	8104	3126 7659	7061 -	2817 1797	13004 9456	6353 3002

^{*}Monthly data unavailable for 1962 Weapons Losses.

Approved For Release 2004/07/28 : CIA-RDP79T00472A001700050001-8 25X1

2. Viet Cong Incidents

ATTACKS

Time Period	Viet Cong Incidents	Small- Scale	BN. Size	Co. Size	Total	Terrorism	Sabotage	Propa- ganda	- Anti- Aircra	
1962 Jan 1963 1964 1965	1825 927 1770 2206	528 242 218 57	2 3 1	8 2 5	549 252 223 63	839 447 1244 1489	180 49 129 272	257 179 174 170	 212	
1962 Feb 1963 1964 1965	1460 788 2078 1982	480 181 211 73	1 3 3	13 3 6	500 195 217 82	613 433 1389 1411	137 69 201 267	210 91 271 91	 131	(
1962 Mar 1963 1964 1965	1961 1282 2160 2056	561 333 198 80	0 1 3	11 4 3	588 344 203 86	660 653 1632 1476	290 131 158 240	423 154 167 90	 164	
1962 Apr 1963 1964 1965 1962	1933 1331 2284 1860 1825	470 371 211 38 490	3 3 4	9 6 1	497 383 220 43 528	1024 688 1738 1407 892	220 105 169 149 154	192 155 157 96 251	 165	
May 1963 1964	1208 21 4 3	344 170	0 2	13 3	357 175	608 1418	93 217	150 140	193	
1962 Jun 1963 1964	1477 1311 2062	385 398 128	1 1 2	21 11 10	407 410 140	736 652 1390	157 107 176	222 142 162	 194	(
1962 Jul 1963 1964	1564 1368 3045	437 398 166	1 1 12	10 8 7	448 407 185	735 698 2132	158 80 286	223 183 224	218	
1962 Aug 1963 1964	1642 1349 2580	368 356 107	0 1 3	9 11 3	377 368 113	885 647 1775	146 113 315	233 221 1 7 3	 204	

Approved For Release 2004/07/28S.CIA-RDP79T00472A001700050001-8

Approved For Release 2004/07/28 : CIA-RDP79T00472A001700050001-8 25X1

ATTACKS

Time Peri		Viet Cong Incidents	Small- Scale	BN. Size	Co. Size	Total	Terrorism	Sabotage	Propa- ganda	Anti- Aircraft	_
		3.075	200		0	391	624	178	182		
_	1962	1375	382	0	9	503	889	164	207		
Sep	1963	1763	483	3 4	17 4	118	1938	482	178	375	
	1964	3091	110	4	4	110	1930	70Z	210	317	
	1962	1357	406	1	12	419	583	189	166		
0ct		1422	363	ō	6	369	802	105	150		
000	1964	2827	75	6	2	83	1790	480	197	277	
					_	1	(2)		7.20	(ſ
	1962	1311	411	3 3 1	<u>7</u>	421	614	144	132	•	ų
Nov	1963	3182	631	3	11	645	1990	269	278	 176	
	1964	1982	57	1	2	60	1391	247	109	175	
	1962	1346	375	1	8	384	670	107	185		
Dec	1963	1882	258	1 0	3	261	1228	111	251		
Dec	1964	2504	81	6	3 9	96	1719	318	128	243	
									1.		
				Comp	osite Annual	Totals					
	1962	19,076	5295	41	173	5509	8875	2060	2676	No Data	
	1962	17,813	4358	15	121	4494	9735	1396	2161	No Data	
	1963	28,526		46	55	1833	18656	3178	2080	1879	
	1965	8,104	1732 248	ii	1 5	274	5783	928	447	672	
	1707	0,104	2-10		-/	-, .	7.45	.	•		•

